NO. 47339-9-II

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DIVISION TWO

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

٧.

DEREK MARK LOUGHREY,

Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR CLARK COUNTY

The Honorable Suzan Clark, Judge

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT (re appellate costs)

LISA E. TABBUT Attorney for Appellant P. O. Box 1319 Winthrop, WA 98862 (509) 996-3959

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Α.	SUPPLEMENTAL ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR	1
	the state substantially prevails on appeal, any request for appe	
В.	SUPPLEMENTAL ISSUE PERTAINING TO ASSIGNMENT OF ERR	OR1
	Should Mr. Loughrey have to pay appellate costs if he does not substantially prevail on appeal and the state requests costs?	
C.	SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF THE CASE	1
D.	SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT	1
	If the state substantially prevails on appeal, any request for ap costs should be denied	•
Ε.	CONCLUSION	2
CE	ERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page				
Cases				
State v. Blazina, 182 Wn.2d 827, 344 P.3d 680 (2015)				
State v. Sinclair, 192 Wn. App. 380, 367 P.3d 612, review denied, 185 Wn.2d 1034 (2016)				
Statutes				
RCW 10.73.160				
Other Authorities				
RAP 15.2				
Title 14 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure				

A. SUPPLEMENTAL ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR

If the state substantially prevails on appeal, any request for appellate costs should be denied.

- B. SUPPLEMENTAL ISSUE PERTAINING TO ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR

 Should Mr. Loughrey have to pay appellate costs if he does not substantially prevail on appeal and the state requests costs?
 - C. SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF THE CASE

 Supplemental facts are in the Argument section.

D. SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT

If the state substantially prevails on appeal, any request for appellate costs should be denied.

If Mr. Loughrey does not substantially prevail on appeal, he requests that no costs of appeal be authorized under Title 14 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure. The Court of Appeals has discretion to deny a cost bill even where the state is the substantially prevailing party on appeal. *State v. Sinclair*, 192 Wn. App. 380, 391, 367 P.3d 612, *review denied*, 185 Wn.2d 1034 (2016); RCW 10.73.160(1) (the "court of appeals . . . may require an adult . . . to pay appellate costs."). Imposing costs against indigent defendants raises problems well documented in *Blazina*: "increased difficulty in reentering society, the doubtful recoupment of

money by the government, and inequities in administration." *State v. Blazina*, 182 Wn.2d 827, 835, 344 P.3d 680 (2015). Sinclair recognized the concerns expressed in *Blazina* applied to appellate costs and it is appropriate for appellate courts to be mindful of them in exercising discretion. *Sinclair*, 192 Wn. App. at 391.

The trial court found Mr. Loughrey qualified for indigent defense at trial and on appeal. Appendix A (March 19, 2013 Clerk's Minutes); Appendix B (Motion Indigent Status); Appendix C (Order of Indigent Status). Importantly, there is a presumption of continued indigency throughout the review process. *Sinclair*, 192 Wn. App. at 393; RAP 15.2(f). As in *Sinclair*, there is no trial court order finding Mr. Loughrey financial condition has improved or is likely to improve. *Sinclair*, 192 Wn. App. at 393. Mr. Loughrey is in DOC serving a 318 month to life sentence. CP 43. Given the serious concerns recognized in *Blazina* and *Sinclair*, this court should soundly exercise it discretion by denying the state's request for appellate costs in this appeal involving an indigent appellant.

E. CONCLUSION

This court should impose no appellate costs on Mr. Loughrey if the state substantially prevails on appeal.

Respectfully submitted November 15, 2016.

LISA E. TABBUT/WSBA 21344
Attorney for Derek Mark Loughrey

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Lisa E. Tabbut declares as follows:

On today's date, I efiled the Brief of Appellant to (1) Clark County Prosecutor's Office, at prosecutor@clark.wa.gov; (2) the Court of Appeals, Division II; and (3) I mailed it to Derek Mark Loughrey/DOC#380356, Stafford Creek Corrections Center, 191 Constantine Way, Aberdeen, WA 98520.

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Signed November 15, 2016, in Winthrop, Washington.

Lisa E. Tabbut, WSBA No. 21344

Attorney for Derek Mark Loughrey, Appellant

APPENDIX A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR CLARK COUNTY

				9:03
Judge: MELNICK	VS.	WASHINGTÒN	03/19/2013	00-00
PA: HANSEN 🕐		REY, DEREK MARK 🗸		3/23/68
Atty: Dunkery @		NEW CHARGE 13): RAPE OF CHILD CHILD MOLESTAT	1 00504 I POST-7/1/88 ION I (2 cts)	
P.O.: Bkdt: 03/18/13 Cell: Al-	Pail:	0.00 	CFN: 1	.10776
ASSIGNED DEPT # 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	8 9 10		Case Reassigned to:	
1ST APPEARCHAN	GE OF PLEASENT_	_VIOLREV RELEASEO	OMNIBUS READINESS_	OTHR
Defendant Appeared Yes/No In Cus	tody (Yes/No War	rant Authorized V	Varrant Outstanding	
Deft Answers to True Name as Charged Probable Cause Found Probable Order for Psych Eval at WSHsgnd Personal Recognizance/ Supervised Response S	le Cause Not Found _ Attorney lease Granted / Denie	Durley Felixard d. Release Revoked to Be Set Previously set B	Appointed/Retained/V	Waived
NOT GUILTY PLEA/MOTION TO CO Information Served on Defendant Not Guilty Plea Entered Motion For Continuance of Trial Grant Waiver of Speedy Trial Signed Readiness Hearing Date Trial Date	edDenied RS 1:30PM	Psych Evaluation Ordere Pre-sentence Report Ord Dismissal of Counts #	iltyS	
SENTENCING Courts Finds the Defendant:Guilty as Charged Based on PlConvicted by the JuryCin violation based on admission Defendant is Sentenced to Jail /DOC fo CTSJAILWORK RE Misdemeanor Sentdays wit Community Custody Mos. HIV/ Court Costs \$Fine \$D Restitution \$Victim Asse Judgment & Sentence Signed Deft is Advised of His/ Her Rights to A	ourt ons or Days/ Montl LEASEWORK thdays sus DNA DNA Fe Orug Fund \$A	cut Off date hs/ Years to be Served as Force CREWCOMM SER spended/ deferred on condite spended/ Other Costs spended/ Served With Map to DOO to the Cost of the Served With Map to DOO to the Cost of the Served With Map to DOO to the Cost of the Served With Map to DOO to the Cost of the	ollows: VSSOSADO tions formonths/ yDV Penalty \$ \$Lab Fee \$ C/COLLECTIONS	ears.

(M)

APPENDIX B

FILED

MAR 1 3 2015

Scott G. Weber, Clerk, Clark Co

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR CLARK COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

No. 13-1-00504-2

v

MOTION INDIGENT STATUS

DEREK MARK LOUGHREY

Defendant

A. MOTION

The defendant moves the Court for an order allowing the defendant to seek review at public expense and providing for appointment of attorney on appeal. This motion is based on RAP 2.2(a)(1) and is supported by the following declaration.

DATED this March 10, 2015.

EDWARD LeROY DUNKERLY, WSBA#8727

Attorney for Defendant.

B. DECLARATION

I was found guilty before the Honorable Suzan Clark. A judgment and sentence was entered in this matter on 13 March 2015. I desire to appeal the conviction and the judgment imposed. I believe that the appeal has merit and is not frivolous and make the following assignments of error and any others that may be made by appellate counsel:

1. Limiting voir dire: 56-57 people in panel and only one hour allowed, denied additional time.

E.g., See State v. Williams, 123 Or. App. 546, 860 P.2d 860 (1993).

(360)607-9243 eldunkerly@yahoo.com Edward LeRoy Dunkerly Attorney at Law 1014 Franklin St. #24B Vancouver WA 98660

MOTION: INDIGENT STATUS - 1 of 4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2. Refusing to allow individual voir dire of all jurors who had either been sexually assaulted or someone close to them had been sexually assaulted.
- 3. Limiting the cross examination and presentation through defense witnesses about reasons

 Natasha Loughrey, the complaining witness, was punished / grounded and the increasing
 frequency of self destructive behavior, motive to falsify, secondary gain.
- 4. Admitting testimony of Amanda Smith.
- 5. Denying the right of confrontation: Witness Amanda Smith, transcript needed of morning motions as I wanted to set where the prosecutor sat, which provides an unobstructed view of the witnesses, and was not allowed to.
- 6. Prosecutorial misconduct in opening and closing vouching and appealing to passion or prejudice.
- 7. There may have also been error in the reading of the jury instructions. Was the correct instruction 17 read to the jury.
- 8. Denying defendant's motion for new trial.

I have previously been found to be indigent. The following declaration provides information as to my current financial status:

- 1.) That I am the defendant in the above-captioned cause;
- 2.) That I do not own any real estate;
- 3.) That I do not own any stocks, bonds, or notes;
- 4.) That I am not the beneficiary of a trust account or accounts;
- 5.) That I own the following motor vehicles or other substantial items of personal property:

ITEM VALUE

AMOUNT OWED ON ITEM

2001 Saturn, \$2,000

\$0

6.) That I do not have income from interest or dividends;

(360)607-9243 eldunkerly@yahoo.com EDWARD LEROY DUNKERLY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1014 FRANKLIN St. #24B
VANCOUVER WA 98660

1	∥ ^{/.)}	inati	nave approxima	tely \$20 in ch	ecking acc	ount(s), 50 in saving	s account(s), and bo in	
2	cash;							
	8.) That I am married (if so, my spouse's name and address is: Amy Loughrey, 2108							
3	Bridge	Bridgecreek Ave. #113, Vancouver WA						
4	9.)							
5								
6								
7		NAM	.E	AMOUNT (OWED	MONTHLY P	PAYMENT	
8	11.) That I am personally receiving public assistance from the following sources (or was until I							
9	was in	carcera	ted): State of Wa	ishington, Fo	od Stamps	and Insurance (fami	ly)	
10			AGENCY OF	RPROGRAN	AMOU	NT OF ASSISTAN	CE	
11	12.)	That I	am not employe	d I'm in joil:	_			
12	13.)		- •	·	ther than v	vhat is set forth abov	<i>r</i> e;	
	14.)							
13								
14		15.) I authorize the court to obtain verification information regarding my financial status from						
15	banks	banks, employers, or other individuals or institutions, if appropriate.						
16	16.)	16.) That I will immediately report to the Court any change in my financial status which						
17	materially affects the Court's finding of indigency.							
18	17.)	17.) I certify that review is being sought in good faith. I designate the following parts of the						
19	record which are necessary for review:							
20		(XX)	The Entire Tria	ıl		26 January - 30 Jan Suzan clark	uary 2014	
21		(X)	Post-trial heari	ng		2/17/15 (Motion N	New Trial)	
22		(XX)	Sentencing hea	ring(s)	Date(s):	<u> </u>		
23		(XX)	Other: There n	nay be some p		Suzan Clark arings that appellate	counsel may decide are	
24	necess	sary.		-		. . •	-	
25					eldı	(360)607-9243 ınkerly@yahoo.com	Edward LeRoy Dun Attorney at La	

MOTION: INDIGENT STATUS - 3 of 4

EDWARD LEROY DUNKERLY ATTORNEY AT LAW 1014 FRANKLIN St. #24B VANCOUVER WA 98660

18.) That the foregoing is a true and correct statement of my financial position to the best of my knowledge and belief.

For the foregoing reasons, I request the Court to authorize me to seek review at public expense, including, but not limited to, all filing fees, attorney's fees, preparation of briefs, and preparation of verbatim report of proceedings as set forth in the accompanying order of indigency, and the preparation of necessary clerk's papers.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

SIGNED in Vancouver, Washington this March 19, 2015.

Defendant's Signature

(360)607-9243 eldunkerly@yahoo.com EDWARD LEROY DUNKERLY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1014 FRANKLIN St. #24B
VANCOUVER WA 98660

APPENDIX C

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FILED

MAR 1 3 2015 Scott G. Weber, Clerk Clark

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR CLARK COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

No. 13-1-00504-2

v.

ORDER INDIGENT STATUS

DEREK MARK LOUGHREY

Defendant

THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the undersigned judge upon the motion of the defendant for an order authorizing the defendant to seek review at public expense and the Court having considered the records and files herein, now therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant shall be allowed

- (X) To appeal from the certain **judgment and sentence** and every part thereof in the aboveentitled cause, entered on 13 March 2015, at public expense -- to include the following:
- 1.) All filing fees;
- 2.) Attorney fees and the cost of preparation of briefs (including copying costs);
- 3.) Costs of preparation of the statement of facts which shall contain the verbatim report of the following proceedings, all of which are necessary for review:

() Pre-Trial Hearings

Date(s)_____

(360)607-9243 eldunkerly@yahoo.com Edward LeRoy Dunkerly Attorney at Law 1014 Franklin St. #24B Vancouver WA 98660

1				Judge		
2	(2	XX)	Trial	Date(s)26 January - 30 January 2015 Judge Suzanee Clark		
3	(.	XX)	Hearing on Post-Trial Motions	Date(s)2/17/18 Judge Suzan Clark		
5	(2	XX)	Sentencing Hearing	Date(s)3/13/15 Judge Suzan Clark		
6 7	4.) C	Cost of	f a copy of the above record for	or the joint use of defendant's counsel and the		
8	prosecut	ing at	torney; and			
9	5.)	Costs	of the preparation of necessary	y clerk's papers.		
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel on appeal, or his/her representative, is					
11	authorized to remove the clerk's file from the Clerk's Office for the purpose of reproducing					
12	clerk's papers and designating the record for review.					
13	AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that trial counsel is allowed to withdraw and that counsel					
14	on appeal be appointed by the Court of Appeals pursuant to RAP 15.2. Payment for expenses of					
15	this appointment is authorized under contract with the Office of Public Defense.					
16 17	DONE IN OPEN COURT this Bday of Murch 12015.					
18				-h.10		
19	JUDGE JUDGE					
20	Presented by:					
21						
22	EDWAR	D Le	ROY DUNKERLY, WSBA#8	727		
23			Defendant			
24				·		
25				(360)607-9243 EDWARD LEROY DUNKERLY eldunkerly@yahoo.com ATTORNEY AT LAW		

ORDER INDIGENT STATUS - 2 of 2

Attorney at Law 1014 Franklin St. #24B

VANCOUVER WA 98660

LISA E TABBUT LAW OFFICE

November 15, 2016 - 10:38 AM

Transmittal Letter

.pdf
.p

Case Name: State v. Derek Loughrey

Court of Appeals Case Number: 47339-9

Is this a Personal Restraint Petition? Yes No

The

he do	cument being Filed is:							
	Designation of Clerk's Papers	Supplemental Designation of Clerk's Papers						
	Statement of Arrangements							
	Motion:							
	Answer/Reply to Motion:							
•	Brief: Supplemental Appellant's							
	Statement of Additional Authorities							
	Cost Bill							
	Objection to Cost Bill							
	Affidavit							
	Letter							
	Copy of Verbatim Report of Proceedings - No. of Volumes: Hearing Date(s):							
Personal Restraint Petition (PRP) Response to Personal Restraint Petition Reply to Response to Personal Restraint Petition								
					Petition for Review (PRV)			
					Other:			
Com	nments:							
No (Comments were entered.							
Send	der Name: Lisa E Tabbut - Email: <u>Itab</u>	butlaw@gmail.com						
A co	ppy of this document has been em	ailed to the following addresses:						
pros	ecutor@clark.wa.gov							